Examiner-Initiated Interview Sum		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	marv	10/663,190	ĺ	OHKUBO ET AL	,
Exammer-initiated interview Summ		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Callie E. Shosho		1714	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>					
(1) <u>Callie E. Shosho</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Cameron Kerrigan</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>16 April 2007</u>		Time:			
	☐ Applic	ant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:		•			•
1, 8-9, 11, 22-23, 25-29				,	
Prior art documents discussed:			,		
Part II.					•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	ERAL NATURE OF W	HAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.	•				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	eation. The C⊶+ ∑ separate	ne examiner will provi ことしている record of the substar	ide a writtence of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
					٠
. ·					
		·			
Vallie Shaho		·			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicar	nt/Applicant's Represe	entative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Kerrigan.

The amendments to each of claims 1, 11, 25, and 28 were made to ensure that the claims recite proper antecedent basis.

The amedments to each of claims 8 and 22 were made to correct minor grammatical and typing errors.

The amendment to each of claims 9, 23, 26, and 27 was made to clarify what "n" is and to make the recitation consistent with that found in other claims such as claim 7.

The amendment to claim 29 was made to avoid confusion with respect to what the colored particles comprise and to ensure that the claim language was consistent throughout the claim.